# **Experience with Digital Optical Monitoring in NRENs**



Cisco7600/Catalyst6500 NREN Workshop, Wien 2007



- Ability to monitor certain parameters on optical transceivers without service interruption
- Data accessible via CLI and SNMP

#show interfaces transceiver

	Temperature	Voltage	Current	Optical Tx Power	Optical Rx Power
Port	(Celsius)	(Volts)	(mA)	(dBm)	(dBm)
те1/1	30.5	0.00	32.9	-1.6	-4.7
те1/2	29.9	0.00	60.8	2.0	-6.8
те1/3	28.8	0.00	7.2	-2.9	-2.9
те1/4	30.5	0.00	101.8	1.3	-21.8
Gi4/12	39.1	3.30	35.6	2.8	-1.2
Gi5/1	29.9	3.29	24.7	3.1	-12.6
Gi5/2	30.0	3.32	20.6	-5.5	-9.0

• Allows much better management of optical links

## Ways to manage an optical link

### A: monitoring by enduser

#### customer calls if his service is down or severely non-performant

- + cheap
- service is already degraded or unusable when we notice
- unsuitable for detecting failing backup links

### **B: close monitoring of interface statistics**

#### input-errors indicate degraded links

- + fix problems before users get aware
- + frequent checking of interface statistics can be done by scripts
- once crc errors occur, link quality is already degraded, noticable performance problems likely
- unsuitable for detecting failing backup links



QuickTime<sup>™</sup> and TIFF (U ncompressed ) decom





# Ways to manage an optical link (2)

### C: proactive monitoring on the optical level

use changing optical power levels as early indicators - before errors pop up

- + get aware of degrading fiber paths
- + get aware of transceiver problems
- + maintenance: test outcome of splicing/patching work
  - from remote
  - non-intrusively
- + works on unloaded backup links as well
- requires additional hardware & software capabilities

#### Graphs for swiEL2 - Te1/4







300km 10GE link with DWDM XENPAKs Lausanne - Manno





- Sometimes considered unnecessary
- But technology is advancing rapidly
  - for 100m copper links, we now have:

#show cabl Interface	e-diag Speed	nosti Pair	cs to Cable	dr into e leng <sup>.</sup>	erface g9, th	/5 Distance to fault	Channel	Pair status
Gi9/5	1000	1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8	40 40 38 40	+/- 6 +/- 6 +/- 6 +/- 6	m m m m	N/A N/A N/A N/A	Pair B Pair A Pair D Pair C	Terminated Terminated Terminated Terminated

- DOM needed to achieve decent L1 manageability for fibre links
  - LAN core / trunks



SFF8472 - Diagnostic Monitoring Interface for Optical Transceivers

- Extension of serial ID interface for GBICs/SFPs
- Accessible the same way (2-wire I<sup>2</sup>C interface)
- Slightly modified for 10GE pluggables
  - derivatives of SFF8472 included in XENPAK/XFP MSAs
- DOM requires:
  - support in transceivers (sensors, data export)
  - support in IOS (data processing & presentation)
  - linecards not restricting DOM



- First implemented on Cat4500 - 12.1(20)EW, October 2003
- Support on Cat3750/3560/2970/2960
  - 12.2(20)SE, May 2004
  - Cat3750E/3650E: SFPs now, X2s in 12.2(44)SE
- Unofficial support on Cat6500
  - 12.2(17d)SXB2, July 2004
- Officially announced in April 2005

   12.2(18)SXE
- DOM available on all modern Catalyst switches



- XENPAKs
  - MSA rev 2.1 (Feb 2002) no DOM defined
  - MSA rev 3.0 (Sep 2002) DOM support added
  - LW/ZR/DWDM XENPAKs always MSA 3.0 with DOM
  - but for SR/LR/ER DOM support was unsure
    - customers received MSA 2.1 XENPAKs even in Sept 2005
- X2s fine
  - based on XENPAK MSA 3.0
- XFPs fine
  - DOM support in XFP MSA since the first draft



Situation almost fixed in 2007:

- XENPAK ER
  - EOS/EOL announced in March 2007
  - replaced by ER+ with DOM (Cisco P/N: 10-1888-04)
- XENPAK LR
  - EOS/EOL announced in June 2007
  - replaced by LR+ with DOM (Cisco P/N: 10-1838-04)
- XENPAK SR
  - no EOS/EOL announcement, no SR+ yet
  - DOM-capable SRs available from multiple vendors



- GBICs
  - legacy form factor, no development anymore
  - DOM support in CWDM/DWDM versions only
- SFPs
  - all versions available with DOM support
  - but DOM-capable SFP-GE-S -L,-Z only for SPAs/ES20
  - GLC-SX-MM, GLC-LH-SM, GLC-ZX-SM (no DOM) for other linecards and/or other Catalyst switches
  - it means different SFPs are used even in the same chassis
  - unnecessarily complicated, sparing is a nightmare
  - solution quite simple: EOS/EOL for GLC-\* versions
  - replacement already available: SFP-\* versions



### • A closer look at some GLC-LH-SM SFPs:

Vendor Name Vendor PN Date code : FINISAR CORP. : FTRJ-1319-7D-CSC : 030508

Vendor Name Vendor PN Date code

: CISCO-FINISAR : FTRJ1319P1BTL-C7 : 060812

### • From Finisar's application note AN-2030:

Digital diagnostic features are implemented in all Finisar SFP transceivers that contain a "D" in the part number suffix (for example, FTRJ -1319-7**D**-2.5), as well as DWDM and CWDM GBICs. All next generation Finisar SFPs utilizing the new part numbering scheme (e.g. FTRJ1621P1BCL) also have the same diagnostic capability.

### • Those SFPs do have DOM hardware

- some vendors say it's not feasible to produce two different HW versions
- they just use different EEPROM image without DOM data
- another strong argument to discontinue GLC-\* versions



- Upto 12.2(18)SXF10, DOM implementation was strictly based on SFF8472
  - all compliant transceivers worked fine
  - successful operation in NRENs for 2+ years
- Changes implemented in recent IOS versions
  - 12.2(33)SXH, 12.2(33)SRA4, 12.2(33)SRB
  - DOM stopped working for most of our transceivers
  - even those that work, don't work 100% correctly



- DOM capability byte in transceiver's EEPROM is not honoured
  - IOS checks Cisco's own DOM-support list
  - DOM disabled if transceiver not listed there
- Alarm & warning thresholds are overriden with static values from IOS
  - threshold values from transceiver manufacturer no longer accessible
- Apparently an attempt to fix problems with bad transceivers but too many unwanted side-effects



- LR+/ER+ XENPAKs with official DOM support only introduced in 2007, SR+ still not announced
  - DOM disabled on "old" SR/LR/ER XENPAKs even though it was working fine for years
  - also disabled on CWDM GBICs, some SFPs etc.
  - NRENs seriously hit by this as usual, we are the early adopters and our XENPAKs are the old versions
- Introduces problem with all future transceivers
  - 10GBase-LRM not on the list as well
- Let's verify accuracy of DOM data

## **Precision of DOM values - Tx**

measured around Zurich on SWITCHIan backbone and between SWITCH's datacenters



- Tx readings of all plugins were reasonable
- accuracy mostly within +/-1dBm limits
- two of the tested XENPAK-LR did not return any DOM values



## **Precision of DOM values - Rx**

measured around Zurich on SWITCHIan backbone and between SWITCH's datacenters



- CWDM SFP: correct values, even from Finisar plugin
- CWDM GBIC: Rx readings are 20dB too high, regardless of IOS release
- DWDM GBIC: correct values
- XENPAK-LR: very precise, but two tested modules did not report any DOM values





- All tested transceivers return reasonable data
- CWDM GBICs are pre-SFF8472 devices
  - they report RX power in units of 1 nW
  - SFF8472 specifies 0.1  $\mu$ W units, i.e. 100-times more
  - need to subtract 20 dB from computed RX values
  - easy to adjust this in IOS
- Missing DOM on old transceivers bugfixed recently
- Alternative solution: introduce interface-level CLI to disable DOM if needed
  - in sync with "speed nonegotiate", "no cdp enable" etc.



# Static Thresholds (1)

- Some transceivers have incorrectly programmed alarm & warning thresholds in EEPROM

   they might trigger false alarms / SNMP traps
- However, this problem is not fully fixable in IOS
  - several transceiver versions from several manufacturers are grouped under one name – e.g. XENPAK-LR
  - each of them might use different components with different threshold limits
  - it's therefore not possible to define universal limits
- Transceiver manufacturers need to fix this
  - they have done already, so recent & future pluggables should be OK



# Static Thresholds (2)

- Any static threshold implemented in IOS means
  - at best only partial fix for broken transceiver
  - regression for all compliant transceivers
- Current implementation
  - no thresholds (thus no alarms) for laser current
  - "average" values for other parameters, some inaccurate
  - specific components not taken into account
- Some modifications needed
  - check validity of EEPROM thresholds first
  - if they look reasonable, prefer & use them
  - fallback to static thresholds otherwise
  - or add user-configurable thresholds from CatOS 8.6?



- DOM works fine on most linecards
  - even on really old ones like WS-X6516-GBIC
  - but not on WS-X6724-SFP / WS-X6748-SFP
- This is major problem for GE connections
  - WS-X6516-GBIC works, but only few GBICs with DOM
  - SFPs with DOM available, but linecards don't work
- Different answers from different people
  - hardware limitation, no workaround
  - possibly fixable in linecard firmware
  - further investigation needed



- The symptoms are really strange:
  - "show idprom int gig x/y" works
  - this means 2-wire I<sup>2</sup>C interface must be functional
  - DOM is accessible the same way
  - IOS tries to read it, but receives error from linecard firmware
- If no fix/workaround possible, please consider new HW revision of SFP linecards soon

- otherwise there's no DOM on Cat6500 for GE links



- DOM very useful technology worth developing
- Well supported by transceiver manufacturers
- Need to sort out a few things to be complete
  - EOS/EOL for DOM-incapable transceivers
  - fix DOM support on SFP linecards
  - fix a few IOS problems
- Please consider DOM in every future design
- As usual, NRENs will be glad to help



- ACONET: Christian Panigl, Harald Michl
- CESNET: Václav Novák, Josef Verich
- SWITCH: Felix Kugler, Simon Leinen

# Questions ?

Cisco7600/Catalyst6500 NREN Workshop, Wien 2007